



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 63134/P026US/10400589 2497 09/905,014 07/13/2001 Thomas R. Spadaro EXAMINER 7590 11/22/2006 29053 MEHRA, INDER P DALLAS OFFICE OF FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 2200 ROSS AVENUE PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT **SUITE 2800** 2617 DALLAS, TX 75201-2784

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Interview Summary   | Application No.             | Applicant(s)       |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|   | 09/905,014                  | SPADARO ET AL.     |
|   | Examiner                    | Art Unit           |
|   | Inder P. Mehra              | 2617               |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |                             |                    |
| (1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.   | (3) John Pezzlo, Primary E  | <u>xaminer</u> .   |
| (2) <u>Luiz von Paumgartten, Attorney</u> .   | (4)Ross Viguet, Attorney, I | Reg. No. 42,203.   |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2006</u> .  |                             |                    |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  |                             |                    |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:  |                             |                    |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claim 1,</u> .   |                             |                    |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Kung et al (US Patent Application No. 2003/0133558).   |                             |                    |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  |                             |                    |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed claim 1 with reference to Prior Art Kung et al (US Patent Application No. 2003/0133558) and Gainsboro (US Patent Application No. 2002/0071537). If Attorney sends draft amendment, we will check if it overcomes the references If Attorney files any RCE, we will contact Attorney, after updating the search and prior to first office action.</u>              |                             |                    |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |                             |                    |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |                             |                    |
|   | JOHN I<br>PRIMARY           | PEZZLO<br>EXAMINER |
|   |                             |                    |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required